arXiv:1005.1631v2 [math.CO] 17 May 2010

Upper and lower bound theorems for graph-associahedra.

V. M. Buchstaber V. D. Volodin

Abstract

From the paper of the first author it follows that upper and lower bounds for γ-vector of a simple polytope imply the bounds for its g-,h- and f-vectors. In the paper of the second author it was obtained unimprovable upper and lower bounds for γ-vectors of flag nestohedra, particularly, Gal's conjecture was proved for this case. In the present paper we obtain unimprovable upper and lower bounds for γ-vectors (consequently, for g-,h- and f-vectors) of graph-associahedra and some its important subclasses. We use the constructions that for an $(n-1)$-dimensional graph-associahedron P_{Γ_n} give the n-dimensional graph-associahedron $P_{\Gamma_{n+1}}$ that is obtained from the cylinder $P_{\Gamma_n}\times I$ by sequential shaving some facets of its bases. We show that the well-known series of polytopes (associahedra, cyclohedra, permutohedra and stellohedra) can be derived by these constructions. As a corollary we obtain inductive formulas for γ- and h- vectors of the mentioned series. These formulas communicate the method of differential equations developed by the first author with the method of shavings developed by the second author.

1 Introduction

Simple polytopes play important role in toric geometry and topology (see [BR]). The classical problem of upper and lower bounds for h-vectors of n-dimensional simple polytopes with fixed number of facets is solved in [Ba1], [Ba2] and [Mc].

Nowadays there appeared an important subclass of simple polytopes - Delzant polytopes. For every Delzant polytope P^n there exists a Hamiltonian toric manifold M^{2n} such that P^n is the image of the moment map (see [CdS], [D]). Davis-Januszkiewicz theorem (see [DJ]) states that odd Betti numbers $b_{2i-1}(M^{2n})$ are zero and even Betti numbers $b_{2i}(M^{2n})$ are equal to components $h_i(P^n)$ of the h-vector of P^n. So, the problem of upper and lower bounds for h-vectors of Delzant polytopes become actual, because its solution gives upper and lower bounds for Betti numbers of Hamiltonian toric manifolds.

Feichtner and Sturmfels (see [FS]) and Postnikov (see [P]) showed that the Minkowski sum of some set of regular simplices is a simple polytope if this set satisfies certain combinatorial conditions identifying it as a buiding set. The resulting family of simple polytopes was called nestohedra in [PRW] because of their connection to nested sets considered by De Concini and Procesi (see [DP]) in the context of subspace arrangements. Note that from results of [FS] directly follows that nestohedra are Delzant polytopes. Special cases of building sets are vertex sets of connected subgraphs in a given graph; the corresponding nestohedra called graph-associahedra by Carr and Devadoss were first studied in [CD],[DJS],[P],[TL],[Ze].

The main goal of this paper is to establish upper and lower bounds for f-,g-,h- and γ-vectors of graph-associahedra and some its important subclasses.

From [FM] we know that if $B_1 \subseteq B_2$ for connected building sets, then P_{B_2} is obtained from P_{B_1} by sequential shaving some faces, consequently, $h_i(P_{B_1}) \leq h_i(P_{B_2})$. Therefore, $h_i(\Delta^n) \leq h_i(P_B) \leq h_i(Pe^n)$ for every n-dimensional nestohedron P_B and these bounds are unimprovable.

In the combinatorics of simple polytopes especially interested is γ-vector. Using [Bu1] and definitions of g-,h- and f-vectors one can prove that componentwise inequality $\gamma(P_1) \leq \gamma(P_2)$ for simple n-polytopes P_1 and P_2 implies componentwise inequalities: $g(P_1) \leq g(P_2)$, $h(P_1) \leq h(P_2)$, $f(P_1) \leq f(P_2)$.

Gal's conjecture (see [G]) states that flag simple polytopes have nonnegative γ-vectors. In [Bu2] it was described realization of the associahedron as a polytope obtained from the standard cube by shaving faces of codimension 2. The main result of [V1, V2] is that every flag nestohedron has such a realization. As a corollary it was derived that unimprovable bounds for γ-vectors of flag nestohedra are $\gamma(I^n)$ and $\gamma(Pe^n)$. That includes Gal's conjecture for flag nestohedra, since $\gamma_i(I^n) = 0, i > 0$.

There are remarkable series of graph-associahedra corresponding to series of graphs: associahedra As^n (path graphs), cyclohedra Cy^n (cyclic graphs), permutohedra Pe^n (complete graphs) and stellohedra St^n (star graphs). Using these series we obtain the main result of the paper:

Theorem. *There are following unimprovable bounds:*

1) $\gamma_i(As^n) \leq \gamma_i(P_{\Gamma_{n+1}}) \leq \gamma_i(Pe^n)$ *for any connected graph* Γ_{n+1} *on* $[n+1]$*;*
2) $\gamma(Cy^n) \leq \gamma_i(P_{\Gamma_{n+1}}) \leq \gamma_i(Pe^n)$ *for any Hamiltonian graph* Γ_{n+1} *on* $[n+1]$*;*
3) $\gamma_i(As^n) \leq \gamma_i(P_{\Gamma_{n+1}}) \leq \gamma_i(St^n)$ *for any tree* Γ_{n+1} *on* $[n+1]$*.*

The last part was predicted in [PRW, Conjecture 14.1], where it was calculated γ-vectors of trees on 7 nodes and it was noticed that more branched and forked trees give polytopes with higher γ-vectors.

We use the constructions that for an $(n-1)$-dimensional graph-associahedron P_{Γ_n} produce the n-dimensional graph-associahedron $P_{\Gamma_{n+1}}$ that is obtained from the cylinder $P_{\Gamma_n} \times I$ by sequential shaving some facets of its bases. We show that the mentioned series of polytopes (associahedra, cyclohedra, permutohedra and stellohedra) can be derived by these constructions. As a corollary we obtain inductive formulas for γ- and h- vectors of the above series. These formulas communicate the method of differential equations developed in [Bu1] with the method of shavings developed in [V1, V2].

2 Face polynomials

The convex n-dimensional polytope P is called *simple* if its every vertex belongs to exactly n facets.

Let f_i be the number of i-dimensional faces of an n-dimensional polytope P. The vector $(f_0, \dots, f_n)$ is called the f-vector of P. The F-polynomial of P is defined by:

$$F(P)(\alpha, t) = \alpha^n + f_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1}t + \dots + f_1\alpha t^{n-1} + f_0 t^n.$$

The h-vector and H-polynomial of P are defined by:

$$H(P)(\alpha, t) = h_0\alpha^n + h_1\alpha^{n-1}t + \dots + h_{n-1}\alpha t^{n-1} + h_n t^n = F(P)(\alpha - t, t).$$

The g-vector of a simple polytope P is the vector $(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{[\frac{n}{2}]})$, where $g_0 = 1, \quad g_i = h_i - h_{i-1}, i > 0$.

The Dehn-Sommerville equations (see [Zi]) state that $H(P)$ is symmetric for any simple polytope. Therefore, it can be represented as a polynomial of $a = \alpha + t$ and $b = \alpha t$:

$$H(P) = \sum_{i=0}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} \gamma_i (\alpha t)^i (\alpha + t)^{n-2i}.$$

The γ-vector of P is the vector $(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{[\frac{n}{2}]})$. The γ-polynomial of P is defined by:

$$\gamma(P)(\tau) = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1\tau + \dots + \gamma_{[\frac{n}{2}]}\tau^{[\frac{n}{2}]}.$$

Lemma 1. *Let* $\gamma_i(P_1) \leq \gamma_i(P_2), i = 0, \dots, [\frac{n}{2}]$*, where* P_1 *and* P_2 *are simple* n*-polytopes, then*

1) $g_i(P_1) \leq g_i(P_2)$*;*
2) $h_i(P_1) \leq h_i(P_2)$*;*
3) $f_i(P_1) \leq f_i(P_2)$*.*

Proof. The following formula for simple n-polytopes (see [Bu1]) implies part 1).

$$g_i(P) = (n - 2i + 1)\sum_{j=0}^{i} \frac{1}{n - i - j + 1}\binom{n-2j}{i-j}\gamma_j(P).$$

Next formulas derived from definitions of g- and h-vectors show that 1) implies 2) and 2) implies 3).

$$h_i(P) = \sum_{j=0}^{i} g_j(P); \qquad f_i(P) = \sum_{j=i}^{n}\binom{j}{i}h_{n-j}(P).$$

□

3 Nestohedra and graph-associahedra

In this section we state well-known facts about nestohedra. They can be found in [FS],[P],[Ze].

Notation. By $[n]$ and $[i, j]$ denote the sets $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\{i, \ldots, j\}$.

Definition 1. A collection B of nonempty subsets of $[n + 1]$ is called a *building set* on $[n + 1]$ if the following conditions hold:

1) If $S_1, S_2 \in B$ and $S_1 \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset$, then $S_1 \cup S_2 \in B$;

2) $\{i\} \in B$ for every $i \in [n + 1]$.

The building set B is *connected* if $[n + 1] \in B$.

The *restriction* of the building set B to $S \in B$ is the following building set on $[|S|]$:

$$B|_S = \{S' \in B \colon S' \subseteq S\}.$$

The *contraction* of the building set B along $S \in B$ is the following building set on $[n + 1 - |S|]$:

$$B/S = \{S' \subseteq [n + 1] \setminus S \colon S' \in B \text{ or } S' \cup S \in B\} = \{S' \setminus S, S' \in B\}.$$

Definition 2. Let Γ be a graph with no loops or multiple edges on the node set $[n + 1]$. The *graphical building set* $B(\Gamma)$ is the collection of nonempty subsets $S \subseteq [n + 1]$ such that the induced subgraph $\Gamma|_S$ on the node set S is connected.

Remark 1. Building set $B(\Gamma)$ is connected if and only if Γ is connected.

Remark 2. Let Γ be a connected graph on $[n+1]$ and $S \in B(\Gamma)$, then $B|_S$ and B/S are both graphical building sets corresponding to connected graphs $\Gamma|_S$ and Γ/S.

Let M_1 and M_2 be subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$. The *Minkowski sum* of M_1 and M_2 is the following subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$:

$$M_1 + M_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon x = x_1 + x_2, x_1 \in M_1, x_2 \in M_2\}.$$

If M_1 and M_2 are convex polytopes, then so is $M_1 + M_2$.

Definition 3. Let e_i be the endpoints of the basis vectors of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Define the *nestohedron* P_B corresponding to the building set B as following

$$P_B = \sum_{S \in B} \Delta^S, \text{ where } \Delta^S = \operatorname{conv}\{e_i, i \in S\}.$$

If $B(\Gamma)$ is a graphical building set, then $P_\Gamma = P_{B(\Gamma)}$ is called a *graph-associahedron.*

Example. Here we especially interested by the following series of graph-associahedra:

- Let L_{n+1} be the path graph on $[n + 1]$, then the polytope $P_{L_{n+1}}$ is called *associahedron* (Stasheff polytope) and denoted by As^n;
- Let C_{n+1} be the cyclic graph on $[n+1]$, then the polytope $P_{C_{n+1}}$ is called *cyclohedron* (Bott-Taubes polytope) and denoted by Cy^n;
- Let K_{n+1} be the complete graph on $[n + 1]$, then the polytope $P_{K_{n+1}}$ is called *permutohedron* and denoted by Pe^n;
- Let $K_{1,n}$ be the complete bipartite graph on $[n + 1]$, then the polytope $P_{K_{1,n}}$ is called *stellohedron* and denoted by St^n.

The simple n-polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a *Delzant polytope* if for every its vertex p there exist integer vectors parallel to the edges meeting at p and forming a $\mathbb{Z}$ basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proposition 1. *Let B be a connected building set on $[n+1]$. Then,* $\dim P_B = n$ *and P_B can be realized as a Delzant polytope. Particularly, every nestohedron is simple.*

The convex polytope P is called *flag* if any collection of its pairwise intersecting facets has a nonempty intersection.

Proposition 2. *Every graph-associahedron is flag.*

To understand the combinatorics of P_B we need the following statement.

Proposition 3. *Let B be a connected building set on $[n+1]$. Then, elements S of $B \setminus [n+1]$ are in bijection with facets (denoted by F_S) of P_B, which are combinatorially equivalent to $P_{B|_S} \times P_{B/S}$. Facets $F_{S_1}, \dots, F_{S_k}$ have a nonempty intersection if and only if the following conditions hold:*

1) For any S_i, S_j we have $S_i \subset S_j$, or $S_i \supset S_j$, or $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$;

2) For any $S_{i_1}, \dots, S_{i_p}$ such that $S_{i_j} \cap S_{i_l} = \emptyset$ we have $S_{i_1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup S_{i_p} \notin B$.

Notation. Eventually, we will write "facet S" meaning "facet F_S".

4 Technique

4.1 The γ-vectors of flag nestohedra

We need the next results from [V1, V2].

Theorem 1. *The γ-vector of any flag nestohedron has nonnegative entries.*

Theorem 2. *If B_1 and B_2 are connected building sets on $[n+1]$, $B_1 \subseteq B_2$, and P_{B_i} are flag, then*

$$\gamma_i(P_{B_1}) \le \gamma_i(P_{B_2}).$$

4.2 Shavings

Here we describe used machinery from [V1, V2], which is based on [FM].

Construction (*Decomposition* of $S \in B_1$ by elements of B_0)**.** Let B_0 and B_1 be connected building sets on $[n+1]$, $B_0 \subset B_1$, and $S \in B_1$. Let us call the decomposition of S by elements of B_0 the representation $S = S_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup S_k, S_j \in B_0$ such that k is minimal among such disjoint representations.

It is easy to check that the decomposition exists and is unique.

The next statement can be extracted from [FM, Theorem 4.2] and also the direct proof in accepted terms is given in [V1, Lemma 5].

Theorem 3. *Let B_0 and B_1 be connected building sets on $[n+1]$ and $B_0 \subset B_1$. The set B_1 is partially ordered by inclusion. Let us number all the elements of $B_1 \setminus B_0$ by indexes i in such a way that $i \le i'$ provided $S^i \supseteq S^{i'}$.*

Then, P_{B_1} is obtained from P_{B_0} by sequential shaving faces $G^i = \bigcap_{j=1}^{k_i} F_{S^i_j}$ that correspond to decompositions $S^i = S^i_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup S^i_{k_i} \in B_1 \setminus B_0$ starting from $i = 1$ (i.e. in reverse inclusion order).

According to [V1, V2], if P_{B_0} and P_{B_1} are flag, then we can change the order of shavings (compare to the last theorem) in such a way that only faces of codimension 2 will be shaved off. This type of shavings increases the γ-vector in case of flag nestohedra.

Proposition 4. (cf. [V1, Proposition 6]) *Let the polytope Q be obtained from the simple polytope P by shaving the face G of codimension 2, then*

1) $\gamma(Q) = \gamma(P) + \tau\gamma(G)$;

2) $H(Q) = H(P) + \alpha t H(G)$.

4.3 Substitution of building sets

Construction (N. Erokhovets)**.** Let $B, B_1, \dots, B_{n+1}$ be connected building sets on $[n+1], [k_1], \dots, [k_{n+1}]$. Define the connected building set $B(B_1, \dots, B_{n+1})$ on $[k_1] \sqcup \ldots \sqcup [k_{n+1}] = [k_1 + \ldots + k_{n+1}]$ consisting of the elements $S^i \in B_i$ and $\bigsqcup\limits_{i \in S} [k_i]$, where $S \in B$.

Proposition 5 (N. Erokhovets)**.** *Let $B, B_1, \dots, B_{n+1}$ be connected building sets on $[n+1], [k_1], \dots, [k_{n+1}]$, and $B' = B(B_1, \dots, B_{n+1})$. Then $P_{B'}$ is combinatorially equivalent to $P_B \times P_{B_1} \times \cdots \times P_{B_{n+1}}$ and the following mapping $\varphi\colon (B \setminus [n+1]) \bigsqcup\limits_{i=1}^{n+1} (B_i \setminus [k_i]) \to B' \setminus [k_1 + \ldots + k_{n+1}]$ defines the facet correspondence.*

$$\varphi(S) = \begin{cases} S & \text{, if } S \in B_i; \\ \bigsqcup\limits_{i \in S} [k_i] & \text{, if } S \in B. \end{cases}$$

Example. Let B, B_1, B_2 be building sets $\{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{1,2\}\}$, which correspond to the interval I. Let us show what is $B(B_1, B_2)$. We substitute $B_1 = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{1,2\}\}$ as a and $B_2 = \{\{3\}, \{4\}, \{3,4\}\}$ as b to the building set $\{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}\}$ and obtain the building set B' on $[4]$ consisting of $\{i\}, \{1,2\}, \{3,4\}, [4]$. Here we reordered B, B_1, B_2 to make them not intersecting.

The facet correspondence is:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \{1\} \in B_1 \mapsto \{1\} \in B'; & \{2\} \in B_1 \mapsto \{2\} \in B'; \\ \{3\} \in B_2 \mapsto \{3\} \in B'; & \{4\} \in B_2 \mapsto \{4\} \in B'; \\ \{a\} \in B_2 \mapsto \{1,2\} \in B'; & \{b\} \in B_2 \mapsto \{3,4\} \in B'. \end{array}$$

Notation. If, for example, building sets $B_1, \dots, B_n$ are $\{1\}, \dots, \{n\}$, then we will write $B(1, 2, \dots, n, B_{n+1})$ instead of $B(\{1\}, \{2\}, \dots, \{n\}, B_{n+1})$ to simplify the notations.

5 Inductive formulas

Let J be the building set $\{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{1,2\}\}$, which corresponds to the interval $P_J = I$.

Construction 1. Let Γ_n be a connected graph on $[n]$ and $V \subseteq [n]$ induces the complete subgraph of Γ_n. Set $\Gamma_{n+1} = \Gamma_n \cup \{n+1\} \cup \{n+1, V\}$, i.e. the vertexes V are adjacent to the new vertex $\{n+1\}$.

According to proposition 5, the building set $B_1 = J(B(\Gamma_n), n+1) = B(\Gamma_n) \cup \{n+1\} \cup [n+1]$ corresponds to $P_{B_1} = P_{\Gamma_n} \times I$: the bottom and top bases of $P_{\Gamma_n} \times I$ are $[n], \{n+1\} \in B_1$; the side facets are $S \in B(\Gamma_n) \setminus [n] \subset B_1$. Thus, side facets S are naturally identified with facets of P_{Γ_n}.

$B(\Gamma_{n+1}) \setminus B_1 = \{S \sqcup \{n+1\}, S \in \mathcal{S}\}$, where $\mathcal{S} = \{S \in B(\Gamma_n) \setminus [n] \colon S \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$. By Theorem 3, $P_{\Gamma_{n+1}}$ is obtained from $P_{\Gamma_n} \times I$ by shaving intersections of the top base $F_{\{n+1\}}$ with the side facets F_S for $S \in \mathcal{S}$. Since the top base doesn't change after shaving its facets, the shaved off faces are exactly $P_{\Gamma_n|_S} \times P_{\Gamma_n/S}, S \in \mathcal{S}$. By proposition 4, we have:

$$\gamma(P_{\Gamma_{n+1}}) = \gamma(P_{\Gamma_n}) + \tau \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \gamma(P_{\Gamma_n|_S}) \gamma(P_{\Gamma_n/S}); \tag{1}$$

$$H(P_{\Gamma_{n+1}}) = (\alpha + t) H(P_{\Gamma_n}) + \alpha t \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} H(P_{\Gamma_n|_S}) H(P_{\Gamma_n/S}). \tag{2}$$

We required that V induces the complete subgraph of Γ_n, because in this case every element of $B(\Gamma_{n+1}) \setminus B_1$ has decomposition consisting of two elements ($S \sqcup \{n+1\}$, where $\{n+1\}, S \in B_1$) and we know the combinatorial type of shaved off faces, which have codimension 2.

5.1 Associahedra

Let us apply the construction 1 to the path graph L_n. Here $V = \{n\}$ and $\mathcal{S} = \{[i,n], i = 2, \dots n\}$. Therefore, the shaved off faces are $As^{i-1} \times As^{n-i-1}, i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and we obtain inductive formulas:

$$\gamma(As^n) = \gamma(As^{n-1}) + \tau \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \gamma(As^{i-1})\gamma(As^{n-i-1}); \tag{3}$$

$$H(As^n) = (\alpha + t)H(As^{n-1}) + \alpha t \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} H(As^{i-1})H(As^{n-i-1}). \tag{4}$$

The inductive formulas for associahedra are equivalent to the equations:

$$\gamma_{As}(x) = 1 + x\gamma_{As}(x) + \tau x^2 \gamma_{As}^2(x) \qquad \text{, where} \qquad \gamma_{As}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma(As^n)x^n;$$

$$H_{As}(x) = (1 + \alpha x H_{As}(x))(1 + txH_{As}(x)) \qquad \text{, where} \qquad H_{As}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H(As^n)x^n.$$

The last equation is equivalent to:

$$\frac{xH_{As}(x)}{(1 + \alpha x H_{As}(x))(1 + txH_{As}(x))} = x.$$

Set $U(x) = xH_{As}(x)$. Then, $U(0) = 0, U'(0) = 1$ and

$$\frac{U}{(1 + \alpha U)(1 + tU)} = x.$$

Applying the classical Lagrange Inversion Formula we obtain:

$$U(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=\varepsilon} \ln\left[1 - \frac{x}{z}(1 + \alpha z)(1 + tz)\right] dz =$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=\varepsilon} \left[\frac{(1 + \alpha z)^n (1 + tz)^n}{z^n}\right] dz \right) \frac{x^n}{n} =$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i+j=n-1} \binom{n}{i}\binom{n}{j} \alpha^i t^j \right) \frac{x^n}{n}.$$

Therefore,

$$H(As^n) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i+j=n} \binom{n+1}{i}\binom{n+1}{j} \alpha^i t^j = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n+1}{i}\binom{n+1}{i+1} \alpha^{n-i} t^i.$$

Lemma 2. *For every connected graph Γ_{n+1} on $[n+1]$ we have $\gamma_i(P_{\Gamma_{n+1}}) \geq \gamma_i(As^n)$.*

Proof. Notice, that it is enough to prove the lemma for trees. Indeed, for every connected graph Γ there exists a tree $T \subseteq \Gamma$ on the same nodes. Then, $B(T) \subseteq B(\Gamma)$ and we can apply Theorem 2.

For $n = 1$ there is nothing to prove.

Assume that the lemma holds for $m \leq n$. Let Γ_{n+1} be a tree on $[n+1]$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\{n+1\}$ is adjacent only to $\{n\}$. Then, we can use the construction 1 putting $\Gamma_n = \Gamma_{n+1} \setminus \{n+1\}$ and $V = \{n\}$. For every $i \in [1, n-1]$ there exists a connected subgraph of Γ_n on i vertexes containing $\{n\}$, i.e. there exists $S \in \mathcal{S}$: $|S| = i$. Therefore, comparing (1) to (3) and using the inductive assumption and remark 2 we obtain the lemma. ☐

5.2 Cyclohedra

Let C_{n+1} be a cyclic graph on $[n+1]$. We apply the construction different from the construction 1.

According to proposition 5, the building set $B_1 = B(C_n)(1, \ldots, n-1, J(n, n+1))$ corresponds to $P_{B_1} = Cy^{n-1} \times I$: the bottom and top bases of $P_{\Gamma_n} \times I$ are $\{n\}, \{n+1\} \in B_1$; the side facets are $S \in B_1 \setminus [n+1]$ such that $\{n, n+1\}$ is either contained in S or doesn't intersect S. Side facets S are identified with facets of $Cy^{n-1} = P_{C_n}$ by collapsing $\{n, n+1\}$ to the point $\{n\}$.

$B(C_{n+1}) \setminus B_1 = \{S \sqcup \{n\}, S \in \mathcal{S}_n\} \cup \{S \sqcup \{n+1\}, S \in \mathcal{S}_{n+1}\}$, where $\mathcal{S}_n = \{[i, n-1], i = 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $S_{n+1} = \{[1, i], i = 1, \ldots, n-1\}$. By Theorem 3, Cy^n is obtained from $Cy^{n-1} \times I$ by shaving intersections of the bottom base $F_{\{n\}}$ with the side facets F_S for $S \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and intersections of the top base $F_{\{n+1\}}$ with the side faces F_S for $S \in \mathcal{S}_{n+1}$. Since bases don't change after shaving their facets, the shaved off faces are exactly $P_{C_n|S} \times P_{C_n/S}, S \in \mathcal{S}_n \cup \mathcal{S}_{n+1}$, which are $As^{i-1} \times Cy^{n-i-1}, i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ in the top and the same type faces in the bottom. By proposition 4, we obtain inductive formulas:

$$\gamma(Cy^n) = \gamma(Cy^{n-1}) + 2\tau \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \gamma(As^{i-1})\gamma(Cy^{n-i-1}); \tag{5}$$

$$H(Cy^n) = (\alpha + t)H(Cy^{n-1}) + 2\alpha t \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} H(As^{i-1})H(Cy^{n-i-1}). \tag{6}$$

The inductive formulas for cyclohedra are equivalent to the equations:

$$\gamma_{Cy}(x) = 1 + x\gamma_{Cy}(x) + \tau x^2 \gamma_{As}(x)\gamma_{Cy}(x) \qquad \text{, where} \qquad \gamma_{Cy}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma(Cy^n)x^n;$$

$$H_{Cy}(x) = 1 + (\alpha + t)xH_{Cy}(x) + 2\alpha t x^2 H_{As}(x)H_{Cy}(x) \qquad \text{, where} \qquad H_{Cy}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H(Cy^n)x^n.$$

Set $V(x) = xH_{Cy}(x)$. Then,

$$\frac{V}{1 + (\alpha + t)V + 2\alpha t UV} = x.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{U}{(1 + \alpha U)(1 + tU)} = \frac{V}{1 + (\alpha + t)V + 2\alpha t UV}.$$

And we obtain:

$$V = \frac{U}{1 - \alpha t U^2}.$$

5.3 Permutohedra

Let us apply the construction 1 to the complete graph K_n. Here $V = [n]$ and $\mathcal{S} = 2^{[n]} \setminus \{\emptyset, [n]\}$. Therefore, we shave off $\binom{n}{i}$ faces of the type $Pe^{i-1} \times Pe^{n-i-1}, i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ and obtain inductive formulas:

$$\gamma(Pe^n) = \gamma(Pe^{n-1}) + \tau \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} \gamma(Pe^{i-1})\gamma(Pe^{n-i-1}); \tag{7}$$

$$H(Pe^n) = (\alpha + t)H(Pe^{n-1}) + \alpha t \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} H(Pe^{i-1})H(Pe^{n-i-1}). \tag{8}$$

The inductive formulas for permutohedra are equivalent to the differential equations:

$$\frac{d\gamma_{Pe}(x)}{dx} = 1 + \gamma_{Pe}(x) + \tau\gamma_{Pe}^2(x) \quad \text{, where} \quad \gamma_{Pe}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\gamma(Pe^n)\frac{x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!};$$

$$\frac{dH_{Pe}(x)}{dx} = (1+\alpha H_{Pe}(x))(1+tH_{Pe}(x)) \quad \text{, where} \quad H_{Pe}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}H(Pe^n)\frac{x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}.$$

One can explicitly solve the last equation and obtain:

$$H_{Pe}(x) = \frac{e^{\alpha x} - e^{tx}}{\alpha e^{tx} - te^{\alpha x}}.$$

Let $A(n,k) = |\{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}(n)\colon \ \mathrm{des}(\sigma) = k\}|$, then

$$H(Pe^n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} A(n+1,k)\alpha^k t^{n-k}.$$

5.4 Stellohedra

Let us apply the construction 1 to the complete bipartite graph $K_{1,n-1}$ or $(n-1)$-star. Here $V = \{1\}$ and $\mathcal{S} = \{\{1\}\cup S, S \subsetneq [2,n]\}$. Therefore, we shave off $\binom{n-1}{i-1}$ faces of the type $St^{i-1}\times Pe^{n-i-1}$, $i = 1,\ldots,n-1$ and obtain inductive formulas:

$$\gamma(St^n) = \gamma(St^{n-1}) + \tau\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{i-1}\gamma(St^{i-1})\gamma(Pe^{n-i-1}); \tag{9}$$

$$H(St^n) = (\alpha+t)H(St^{n-1}) + \alpha t\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{i-1}H(St^{i-1})H(Pe^{n-i-1}). \tag{10}$$

The inductive formulas for stellohedra are equivalent to the differential equations:

$$\frac{d\gamma_{St}(x)}{dx} = \gamma_{St}(x)(1+\tau x\gamma_{Pe}(x)) \quad \text{, where} \quad \gamma_{St}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\gamma(St^n)\frac{x^n}{n!};$$

$$\frac{dH_{St}(x)}{dx} = H_{St}(x)(\alpha+t+\alpha t x H_{Pe}(x)) \quad \text{, where} \quad H_{St}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}H(St^n)\frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

Lemma 3. *For every tree Γ_{n+1} on $[n+1]$ we have $\gamma_i(P_{\Gamma_{n+1}}) \le \gamma_i(St^n)$.*

Proof. For $n=1$ there is nothing to prove.

Assume that the lemma holds for $m \le n$. Let Γ_{n+1} be a tree on $[n+1]$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\{n+1\}$ is adjacent only to $\{n\}$. Then, we can use the construction 1 putting $\Gamma_n = \Gamma_{n+1}\setminus\{n+1\}$ and $V = \{n\}$. For every $i \in [1,n-1]$ there are no more than $\binom{n-1}{i-1}$ elements $S \in \mathcal{S}\colon |S| = i$ and for each such S we have $\gamma(P_{\Gamma_n|S})\gamma(P_{\Gamma_n/S}) \le \gamma(St^{i-1})\gamma(Pe^{n-i-1})$. Therefore, comparing (1) to (9) and using the inductive assumption we obtain the lemma. ☐

6 Bounds of face polynomials

Definition 4. The graph Γ is called Hamiltonian if it contains a Hamiltonian cycle, i.e. a closed loop that visits each vertex of Γ exactly once.

Summarizing Lemmas 1-3 and Theorems 1-2 we obtain the following results:

Theorem 4. *For any flag n-dimmensional nestohedron P_B we have:*

1) $\gamma_i(I^n) \leq \gamma_i(P_B) \leq \gamma_i(Pe^n)$*;*

2) $g_i(I^n) \leq g_i(P_B) \leq g_i(Pe^n)$*;*

3) $h_i(I^n) \leq h_i(P_B) \leq h_i(Pe^n)$*;*

4) $f_i(I^n) \leq f_i(P_B) \leq f_i(Pe^n)$*.*

Theorem 5. *For any connected graph Γ_{n+1} on $[n+1]$ we have:*

1) $\gamma_i(As^n) \leq \gamma_i(P_{\Gamma_{n+1}}) \leq \gamma_i(Pe^n)$*;*

2) $g_i(As^n) \leq g_i(P_{\Gamma_{n+1}}) \leq g_i(Pe^n)$*;*

3) $h_i(As^n) \leq h_i(P_{\Gamma_{n+1}}) \leq h_i(Pe^n)$*;*

4) $f_i(As^n) \leq f_i(P_{\Gamma_{n+1}}) \leq f_i(Pe^n)$*.*

Theorem 6. *For any Hamiltonian graph Γ_{n+1} on $[n+1]$ we have:*

1) $\gamma_i(Cy^n) \leq \gamma_i(P_{\Gamma_{n+1}}) \leq \gamma_i(Pe^n)$*;*

2) $g_i(Cy^n) \leq g_i(P_{\Gamma_{n+1}}) \leq g_i(Pe^n)$*;*

3) $h_i(Cy^n) \leq h_i(P_{\Gamma_{n+1}}) \leq h_i(Pe^n)$*;*

4) $f_i(Cy^n) \leq f_i(P_{\Gamma_{n+1}}) \leq f_i(Pe^n)$*.*

Theorem 7. *For any tree Γ_{n+1} on $[n+1]$ we have:*

1) $\gamma_i(As^n) \leq \gamma_i(P_{\Gamma_{n+1}}) \leq \gamma_i(St^n)$*;*

2) $g_i(As^n) \leq g_i(P_{\Gamma_{n+1}}) \leq g_i(St^n)$*;*

3) $h_i(As^n) \leq h_i(P_{\Gamma_{n+1}}) \leq h_i(St^n)$*;*

4) $f_i(As^n) \leq f_i(P_{\Gamma_{n+1}}) \leq f_i(St^n)$*.*

Proof of Theorem 6. Since Γ_{n+1} is Hamiltonian, there exists a cyclic subgraph $C_{n+1} \subseteq \Gamma_{n+1}$. Therefore, $B(C_{n+1}) \subseteq B(\Gamma_{n+1})$ and Theorem 2 allows to finish the proof. □

The mentioned bounds can be written explicitly using results about f-,h-,g- and γ-vectors of the considered series (cf. [PRW] and [Bu1]):

$$h_i(I^n) = \binom{n}{i}; \quad h_i(As^n) = \frac{1}{n+1}\binom{n+1}{i}\binom{n+1}{i+1}; \quad h_i(Cy^n) = \binom{n}{i}^2;$$

$$h_i(Pe^n) = A(n+1, i); \quad h_i(St^n) = \sum_{k=i}^{n}\binom{n}{k}A(k, i-1), i > 0;$$

$$\gamma_i(I^n) = 0, i > 0; \quad \gamma_i(As^n) = \frac{1}{i+1}\binom{2i}{i}\binom{n}{2i}; \quad \gamma_i(Cy^n) = \binom{n}{i, i, n-2i}.$$

The derived bounds for f-,h-,g- and γ-vectors give the bounds for the corresponding polynomials, which generating functions were obtained in [Bu1].

References

[Ba1] D. Barnette, *The minimum number of vertices of a simple polytope.* Israel Journal of Mathematics, vol. 10, pp. 121-125, 1971.

[Ba2] D. Barnette, *A proof for the lower bound conjecture for convex polytopes.* Pacific Journal of Mathematics, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 349-354, 1973.

[Bu1] V. Buchstaber, *Ring of simple polytopes and differential equations.* Trudy Matematicheskogo Instituta imeni V.A. Steklova, vol. 263, pp. 18-43, 2008.

[Bu2] V. Buchstaber, *Lectures on Toric Topology.* Toric Topology Workshop, KAIST 2008, Trends in Mathematics, Information Center for Mathematical Sciences, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1-55, 2008.

[BR] V. Buchstaber, N. Ray, *An invitation to toric topology: vertex four of a remarkable tetrahedron.* Toric topology, Contemporary Mathematics (AMS), vol. 460, Providence, R.I., pp. 1-27, 2008.

[CdS] A. Cannas da Silva, *Lectures on symplectic geometry.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1764; Springer-Verlag, 2001.

[CD] M. Carr, S. Devadoss, *Coxeter complexes and graph associahedra.* Topology and its Applications, vol. 153, pp. 2155-2168, 2006; arXiv:math/0407229v2.

[DJ] M. Davis, T. Januszkiewicz, *Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions.* Duke Mathematical Journal, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 417-451, 1991.

[DJS] M. Davis, T. Januszkiewicz, R. Scott, *Fundamental groups of blow-ups.* Advances in Mathematics, vol. 177, no. 1, pp. 115-179, 2003; arXiv:math/0203127v2.

[D] T. Delzant, *Hamiltoniens periodiques et image convexe de l'application moment.* Bulletin de la Societe Mathematique de France, vol. 116, no. 3, pp. 315-339, 1988.

[DP] C. De Concini, C. Procesi, *Wonderful models of subspace arrangements.* Selecta Mathematica (N.S.), vol. 1, pp. 459-494, 1995.

[FM] E.-M. Feichtner, I. Mueller, *On the topology of nested set complexes.* Proceedings of American Mathematical Society, vol. 133, no. 4, pp. 999-1006, 2005; arXiv:math/0311430v1.

[FS] E.-M. Feichtner, B. Sturmfels, *Matroid polytopes, nested sets, and Bergman fans.* Portugaliae Mathematica (N.S.), vol. 62, no. 4, pp. 437-468, 2005; arXiv:math/0411260v1.

[G] S. Gal, *Real root conjecture fails for five- and higher-dimensional spheres.* Discrete & Computational Geometry, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 269-284, 2005; arXiv:math/0501046v1.

[Mc] P. McMullen, *The maximum numbers of faces of a convex polytope.* Mathematika, vol. 17, pp. 179-184, 1970.

[PRW] A. Postnikov, V. Reiner, L. Williams, *Faces of generalized permutohedra.* Documenta Mathematica, vol. 13, pp. 207-273, 2008; arXiv:math/0609184v2.

[P] A. Postnikov, *Permutohedra, associahedra, and beyond.* International Mathematics Research Notices, no. 6, pp. 1026-1106, 2009; arXiv:math/0507163v1.

[TL] V. Toledano-Laredo, *Quasi-Coxeter algebras, Dynkin diagram cohomology and quantum Weyl groups.* International Mathematics Research Papers, 2008; arXiv:math/0506529v3.

[V1] V. Volodin, *Cubical realizations of flag nestohedra and Gal's conjecture.* arXiv:0912.5478v1.

[V2] V. Volodin, *Cubic realizations of flag nesohedra and proof of Gal's conjecture for them.* UMN, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 183-184, 2010.

[Ze] A. Zelevinsky, *Nested set complexes and their polyhedral realizations.* Pure and Applied Mathematics Quarterly, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 655-671, 2006; arXiv:math/0507277v4.

[Zi] G. Ziegler, *Lectures on Polytopes.* Springer-Verlag, 1995. (Graduate Texts in Math. V.152).

Steklov Mathematics Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
E-mail adress: `buchstab@mi.ras.ru`

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics, Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
E-mail adress: `volodinvadim@gmail.com`